

REMARKS

Claims 82-109 are pending in the subject application. Claims 82-85, 88-93 and 100-104 are withdrawn from consideration by the Examiner as directed to a non-elected invention. Claims 87, 95-99, 107 and 109 are withdrawn from consideration by the Examiner as directed to non-elected species. By this Amendment, Claims 106 and 108 have been amended to delete the phrase “or a pro-drug.” The amendments to the claims do not raise an issue of new matter. Accordingly, entry of the amendments is respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)

Claims 86, 94, 105-106 and 108 are rejected as being unpatentable over WO 01/41701 in view of Van Oekelen et al. (Eur. J. Pharm. 425: 21-32, 2001) (“Van Oekelen”).

Applicant respectively traverses this rejection.

Patent Office Interview

Applicant would like to direct the Examiner’s attention to the Interview Summary by Examiner Umamaheswari Ramachandran of an October 22, 2009 interview with the applicant and his attorneys in connection with patent family members U.S. Patent Applications No. 10/725,965 and 10/752,423. A copy of the Interview Summary is included in the accompanying Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement (SIDS). In the Interview Summary, Examiner Ramachandran indicated “Applicants’ presented data showing the unexpected results with low dose (5-15 mg) pipamperone. Applicants’ will consider amending the claims to advance prosecution.” Data from the applicant are included in the accompanying SIDS and discussed below.

The present invention

Background: The claimed invention requires the administration of pipamperone in an unprecedented low dose of 5 to 15 mg. One of the main problems with contemporaneous psychoactive drugs is their side effects, which limit the usability of these drugs. For instance, the selective serotonin re-uptake inhibitors (SSRIs), which are generally considered to be the first-line antidepressants of choice, block the serotonin transporter responsible for pre-synaptic reuptake. Thus, the availability of synaptic serotonin is augmented, leading to a *stimulation* of various serotonin (5-HT) receptors. However, the simultaneous stimulation of the pre- and postsynaptic serotonin receptors results in several inhibitory effects. The enhanced availability of serotonin stimulates the 5-HT_{2A} receptor which in turn has an inhibitory effect on 5-HT cell bodies via excitation of glutamatergic pathways and 5-HT_{1A} receptor stimulation via specific intracellular biochemical pathways in 5-HT cell bodies. Hence, administration of serotonin re-uptake inhibitors causes a negative feedback, which limits the antidepressant actions of these drugs.

Dose-effect of pipamperone: The inventor surprisingly found that the use of a *daily low dose of 5 - 15 mg* of pipamperone augments the effect of a serotonin re-uptake inhibitor in treating a disease or disorder with an underlying dysregulation of the emotional functionality. At the claimed daily low dose, the inventor surprisingly found that pipamperone has a specific, but double effect, *i.e.* a high selective D₄ and 5-HT_{2A} receptor antagonistic effect. Thus, serotonin, which has enhanced availability due to the action of the serotonin re-uptake inhibitor cannot bind to the serotonin 2A receptor. As a corollary, the efficacy of the serotonin re-uptake inhibitor is increased, but also the cognitive and behavioural problems induced by enhanced D₄ stimulation in the meso-cortical cortex as a result of the augmented availability of dopamine via 5-HT_{2A}

antagonism is prevented. As such, pipamperone can exert its augmenting effect on the second, serotonin re-uptake inhibitor compound. This effect has not been described in the prior art, nor is there any hint towards such an effect. This daily low dose of pipamperone has *not* been used in the prior art.

Pipamperone as a sedative neurolepticum: In the prior art, pipamperone is used at higher doses acting as a *sedative neurolepticum* (see e.g. Squelart et al. (1977) as well as the manufacturer's instructions, both of record). As a corollary, the prior art teaches using the highest tolerable dose for treating psychoses. However, at these higher doses pipamperone has no therapeutic effect on the serotonin re-uptake inhibitor because an antagonistic activity towards the *D2* and *alpha-adrenergic* receptor takes place, which dominates the clinical effect. This is well-known in the art. This antagonistic activity happens in such a way that negative emerging symptoms like *D2* antagonistic related signs such as emotional blunting and cognitive problems (the so-called "neuroleptic induced deficit syndrome") and *alpha-adrenergic* related signs such as dizziness, decreased blood pressure and drowsiness may counteract the symptoms of, but certainly not treat, and least of all, augment the effect of the serotonin re-uptake inhibitor in the treated mood or anxiety disorder.

The present invention does not involve a mere optimization of dosage by routine experimentation. Rather, it is well-known that pipamperone at the ubiquitously used (high) prior art doses indeed decreases the symptom of psychological anxiety (see e.g. "Dipiperon" of record). This effect of pipamperone results from a neuroleptic-sedative effect. Specifically, it is known that the high dose pipamperone results in *D2* receptor-related dopaminergic and *H1* receptor-related histaminergic antagonism, which is responsible for the neuroleptic-sedative effect. This antagonizing effect (resulting in this neuroleptic-sedative effect) is absent at the claimed low dose of 5-15 mg/day.

Accordingly, there would be no incentive to decrease the amount of pipamperone administered, since this would lower the neuroleptic-sedative effect.

Indeed, the prior art teaches away from using a low dose.

For instance, Dipiperon (of record) teaches away from this low dose range. For adults, Dipiperon on page 1 teaches an initial dose of 40 to 80 mg a day, and that if necessary the dose may be increased to a maximum of 360 mg per day. For children the initial dose is 20 mg per day, and the optimal therapeutic dose varies from 20 to 40 mg per day.

In addition, it is noted that the World Health Organization lists a Defined Daily Dose (DDD) for pipamperone of 0.2 g (200 mg) (see download from WHO website in accompanying SIDS).

Applicant also notes the Experimental Examples presented in the present application. The Examples show the advantages of using pipamperone with citalopram to treat depression (Example 3), obsessive-compulsive disorder (Example 4), and panic disorder (Example 5). Obsessive-compulsive disorder and panic disorder are types of anxiety disorders (see, for example, "Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders" published by the American Psychiatric Association, which is referred to in the first paragraph on page 11 of the present application).

PK/PD modeling of pipamperone and citalopram

In order to establish the *in vivo* receptor occupancy of pipamperone, the inventor conducted PK-PD modeling. By linking the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics, a dose-concentration-response relationship was established and evaluated. Subsequently, the effect-time courses resulting from a drug dose were described and predicted. Efficacy of pipamperone, *i.e.* a 5-HT_{2A} receptor antagonistic effect, is expected with a Receptor Occupancy (RO) of $\geq 60\%$ of 5-HT_{2A} at C_{average} (C_{avg}), while adverse effects are expected

with >10% Receptor Occupancy of D2 and H1 receptors at C_{avg} . The modeling indicates:

1. serotonin **5-HT_{2A}** receptor: a positive clinical effect, since over >60% RO;
2. dopamine-4 (**D4**) receptor: a positive clinical effect, since over >40% RO;
3. dopamine-2 (**D2**) receptor: absence of a negative effect, since <10% RO; and
4. histamine **H1** receptor: absence of a negative effect, since <10% RO.

Notably, relevant H1-receptor binding (>10% RO) results in sedative effects, while relevant D2-receptor binding (>10% RO) results in a neuroleptic effect and extrapyramidal symptoms (see e.g. Leysen et al. (1998), of record). This neuroleptic sedative effect is not present at the claimed low dose of 5-15 mg pipamperone per day. On the other hand, relevant blockade of the **5-HT_{2A}** receptor and the **D4** receptor remains at the claimed low dose of 5-15 mg pipamperone per day. D4 receptor activation results in cognitive and behavioral problems, while 5-HT_{2A} receptor activation results in negative feedback loop on 5-HT_{1A} receptor activation. Thus, the negative effects which are experienced with other drugs are absent. These features are unique to a pipamperone, but only at a **low dose**. These features have never been recognized in the art, and even less their potential in combined medication.

From this study, the following conclusions can be made:

- The optimal dose range is 5 - 15 mg pipamperone per day; and
- The optimal dose is 10 mg pipamperone per day.

Hence the PK/PD modeling results substantiate the observations by the inventor in that low amounts of pipamperone have a relevant clinical effect. The modeling data are presented in the Buntinx et al. 2008 poster and the Buntinx et al. 2008 Abstract attached in the accompanying SIDS. A blow-up of the modeling plot from the poster is attached with the poster. See also Peremans et al. (2008) for data showing that low dose pipamperone blocks serotonin-2A receptors *in vivo* (submitted in accompanying

SIDS).

Applicant intends to submit a supplemental report in support of the present invention after the data is publicly presented on December 9, 2009.

Rejection over cited references

The Examiner asserts that WO 01/41701 teaches combinations of SSRIs with 5-HT_{2C} antagonists and that Van Oekelen teaches that pipamperone is a 5-HT_{2C} antagonist.

It is respectfully noted that for a pharmacological effect to occur, any drug has to be present in sufficient quantities. Determination of sufficient quantities is linked to binding affinities of the drug to its target. Inherently, a drug with low binding affinity for its target needs to be present in higher quantities to achieve the same effect compared to a drug with high binding affinity for its target. In the context of the present invention, the drug is pipamperone, and the target is a receptor involved in dopamine and serotonin signaling. In this respect, reference is made to Leysen et al. (Int J Psychiatry Clin Practice (1998) 2:S3-S17, of record) for a comparison of receptor binding profiles of, among others, pipamperone.

From Table 1 of Leysen it is clear that pipamperone has a different binding affinity (determined as log Ki or pKi) for various receptors:

- a) pKi = -6.92 for 5-HT_{2C}
- b) pKi = -8.19 for 5-HT_{2A}
- c) pKi = -6.71 for D2
- d) pKi = -7.95 for D4

From these values, it is clear that pipamperone has at least a 15-fold higher binding affinity for the 5-HT_{2A} and D4 receptor (of which pKi values are comparable) than for the 5-HT_{2C} and D2 receptor (of which pKi values are comparable). Hence, at any given dose, 5-HT_{2A} and D4 receptor occupancy of pipamperone will be significantly higher than 5-

HT_{2C} and D2 receptor occupancy. This means that, as one decreases the dose of pipamperone, one inevitably, at some point, will arrive at a dose where clinically relevant binding of the 5-HT_{2A} and D4 receptor still occurs but where clinically relevant binding of the 5-HT_{2C} and D2 receptor are absent.

As discussed above, the inventor surprisingly found that a daily low dose pipamperone (5-15 mg) augments the effects of SSRIs. The optimal dose of pipamperone (5-15 mg) was determined by a PK/PD (pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics) modeling for receptor occupancy of pipamperone for various target receptors. From this modeling, it is apparent that at the claimed low dose (5-15 mg daily) a relevant 5-HT_{2A} and D4 receptor binding still occurs, while a relevant 5-HT_{2C} and D2 receptor binding is absent. One of the aims of the present invention was exactly to minimize deleterious effects due to D2 (extrapyramidal side effects) receptor binding (occurring at over 10% receptor occupancy (RO)). Hence, lowering of the dose excludes these side effects, but maintains the beneficial effects due to 5-HT_{2A} and D4 receptor binding. As a corollary, absence of relevant D2 binding automatically indicates likewise absence of relevant 5-HT_{2C} binding, as pipamperone has similar binding affinities for both 5-HT_{2C} and D2 receptors.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) are respectfully requested.

Rejections under 35 U.S.C. §112, First Paragraph

Claims 106 and 108 are rejected as not enabled for reciting prodrugs and active metabolites of the specified compounds. The Claims 106 and 108 have herein above been amended to delete the phrase “or a pro-drug.” Applicant respectfully maintains that it would not require undue experimentation for the skilled artisan to prepare the

Applicant: Erik Buntinx
Serial No.: 10/580,962
Filed: May 31, 2006
Page 16 of 18

claimed compositions using an active metabolite of the specified compounds.
Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

Provisional Obviousness-type Double Patenting Rejection

Applicant acknowledges the following provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection over a co-pending patent family member: Claims 86, 94 and 105 are provisionally rejected as being unpatentable over Claim 41 of co-pending U.S. Patent Application No. 10/752,965.

Request for re-instatement of withdrawn species claims

Upon allowability of an independent claim, re-instatement and consideration of withdrawn dependent species claims are respectfully requested (37 CFR §1.141, MPEP §806.04(d)).

Status of Related Canadian Application

Patent family member Canadian Patent Application No. 2,461,248 has been allowed. A copy of the Notice of Allowance is enclosed with the Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement accompanying this reply.

Status of U.S. Patent Family Members

Applicant would also like to advise the Examiner of the status of co-pending patent family members.

1. U.S. Patent Application No. 10/725,965. The claims have been subject to a restriction requirement. Office Actions on the merits of the application issued on January 23, 2008, September 15, 2008 and June 10, 2009.

2. U.S. Patent Application No. 10/752,423. The claims have been subject to a

Applicant: Erik Buntinx
Serial No.: 10/580,962
Filed: May 31, 2006
Page 17 of 18

restriction requirement. Office Actions on the merits of the application issued on October 2, 2007, May 13, 2008, February 19, 2009, and August 5, 2009.

3. U.S. Patent Application No. 10/803,793. The claims have been subject to a restriction requirement. Office Actions on the merits of the application issued on May 3, 2007, October 19, 2007, September 2, 2008, February 20, 2009 and November 10, 2009. Claims 50, 55, 92 and 93 are allowed.

4. U.S. Patent Application No. 10/984,683. The claims have been subject to a restriction requirement. Office Actions on the merits of the application issued on August 10, 2007, February 22, 2008, October 21, 2008, and July 21, 2009.

Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

In accordance with the duty of disclosure under 37 C.F.R. §1.56, applicant would like to direct the Examiner's attention to the references that are listed on the attached forms PTO/SB/08A-B. A copy of each non-US patent document is attached hereto.

Applicant: Erik Buntinx
Serial No.: 10/580,962
Filed: May 31, 2006
Page 18 of 18

CONCLUSIONS

In view of the amendments and remarks made hereinabove, reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejections set forth in the June 2, 2009 Office Action and passage of pending claims to allowance are respectfully requested. If there is any minor matter preventing the allowance of the subject application, the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned attorney.

The Patent Office is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 01-1785 for following fees for a small entity: the \$555.00 fee for a three month extension of time and the \$180.00 fee for filing an Information Disclosure Statement. No additional fee is deemed necessary in connection with the filing of this response. However, if any other fee is required to preserve the pendency of the subject application, authorization is hereby given to charge the amount of any such fee to Deposit Account No. 01-1785. Overpayments may also be credited to Deposit Account No. 01-1785.

Respectfully submitted,

AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP
Attorneys for Applicant
90 Park Avenue
New York, New York 10016
(212) 336-8000

Dated: December 2, 2009
New York, New York

By /Alan A. Miller/
Alan D. Miller, Reg. No. 42,889